



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/632,543	08/04/2000	Rajendra K. Talluri	TI-28919	1760
7590	04/07/2004			
Carlton H Hoel Texas Instruments Incorporated P O Box 655474 M/S 3999 Dallas, TX 75265			EXAMINER NGUYEN, LUONG-TRUNG	
			ART UNIT 2612	PAPER NUMBER 4
DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/632,543

Applicant(s)

TALLURI ET AL.

Examiner

LUONG T NGUYEN

Art Unit

2612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 August 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Drawings***

1. The drawings are objected to because the informalities addressed below.

There is no consistence between the drawings and the specification for the Fig 11. The Drawings discloses only one Fig 11, while the specification, page 2, discloses Figures 11a-11b. And in the specification, page 14, discloses Figure 11b, and the specification, page 50 discloses Figure 11.

There is no disclosure of Fig 13a, Fig 13 b. It is noted that the specification, page 2, page 51 only discloses Figure 13.

In Figure 22, the label "SP Ext em I/F" should be changed to --DSP Ext mem I/F--.

In Figure 22, the label "hared ata emory /B" should be changed to --shared data memory A/B--.

There are two drawings labeled "Fig 1 Block diagram of the CFA multi-step interpolation with edge preservation" on the sheet of Fig 28 and Fig 29; and "Figure-1 RGB Bayer pattern" on the sheet of Figure 30.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### ***Specification***

Art Unit: 2612

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In the specification, page 1, lines 7-8, "Serial No., file ..." should be filled in the serial No. and the filing date of the pending US patent application related to the present application.

On page 2, line 20, "Figures 9a-9b" should be changed to --Figures 9a-9c--.

On page 2, line 21, ""Figure 10" should be changed to --Figures 10a-10l--.

On page 2, line 22, the specification discloses Figures 11a-11b. However, there are no figures 11a-11b in the drawings.

On page 2, line 30, "Figure 18" should be changed to --Figures 18a-18b--.

On page 3, line 4, "Figure 23 shows" should be changed to --Figures 23a-23c show--.

On page 3, line 10, "Figure 41a-4ab" should be changed to --41a-41b--.

On page 3, line 13, "49 52" should be changed to --49-52--.

Appropriate correction is required.

### *Claim Objections*

4. Claims 2-6 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 2 (line 2), "(a) a third processor" should be changed to --(c) a third processor--.

Claim 3 (line 2), "(a) an image" should be changed to --(c) an image--.

Claim 4 (line 2), "(a) a image" should be changed to --(c) an image--.

Art Unit: 2612

Claim 4 (line 5), "processor" should be changed to --processor.--.

Claim 5 (line 2), "(a) an audio" should be changed to --(c) an audio--.

Claim 5 (line 2), "(a) camera peripherals including IfSA" should be changed to --(c) camera peripherals including IrDA--.

Appropriate correction is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Safai (U. S. Patent No. 6,642,956).

Regarding claim 1, Safai discloses an integrated circuit for a digital still camera, comprising a first programmable processor programmed (microprocessor 312, Figure 3, Column 7, Lines 7-28) to run control functions, said first processor coupled to a user interface (display 318 and touch screen 319, Figure 1), a controller for memory (display controller 317, Figure 1), and a controller for image acquisition (image capture unit 302); and a second programmable processor (digital image processor 310, Figure 3, Column 5, Lines 45-58) programmed to run image processing ( performing some processing of digital images, Column 5, Lines 50-54) and

Art Unit: 2612

compression functions (digital compressor 426, Figure 4, Column 9, Lines 43-55), said second processor coupled to said first processor (Figure 3 shows that digital image processor 310 coupled to microprocessor 312).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 2-3, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Safai (U. S. Patent No. 6,642,956) in view of Mizutani et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,674,464).

Regarding claim 2, Safai fails to specifically disclose a third processor coupled to said second processor, said third processor including at least four parallel multiply and accumulate units. However, Mizutani et al. discloses a digital still camera 1, which includes resolution conversion circuit 28 (third processor) coupled to memory controller 22 (second processor, Figures 2, 6, Column 5, lines 33-53, Column 6, Lines 18-40), the resolution conversion circuit 28 includes six parallel multipliers 85-87, 95-97 and adders 88-90, 98-100 (Figure 7, Column 9, Lines 49-53). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Safai by the teaching of Mizutani et al. in order to perform resolution conversion so that the image data will be in meeting with VGA

Art Unit: 2612

format in the NTSC system and PAL system (Column 16, Lines 21-28). This makes the image data read out from the high resolution can be displayed on lower resolution display.

Regarding claim 3, Safai fails to specifically disclose an image compression unit separate from said second processor, said compression unit arranged to compress acquired images for storage in a memory and to decompress said compressed acquired images in said memory for restorage in said memory. However, Mizutani et al. discloses a digital still camera 1 includes compression/expansion circuit 29, which is separated from memory controller 22, compresses image data to store in image memory 32, and expands the compressed image data (Figures 2-3, Column 6, Lines 25-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Safai by the teaching of Mizutani et al. in order to compress image data before storing image data into a memory. This increases the amount of image data to be stored in the memory.

Regarding claim 6, Safai discloses camera peripherals including USB, NTSC/PAL encoder, and compact flash/smart media interface (USB port, TV signal output port, PCMCIA port, Figure 3, Column 7, Lines 13-18). Safai fails to specifically disclose camera peripherals including IfSA, NTSC/PAL encoder. However, Mizutani et al. discloses a digital still camera 1 includes an NTSC/PAL encoder 23, and IrDA interface 45 (Figure 2, Column 5, Lines 39-40, Column 6, Lines 53-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Safai by the teaching of Mizutani et al. in order to display image data on a TV monitor.

Art Unit: 2612

9. Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Safai (U. S. Patent No. 6,642,956) in view of Fukuoka (U. S. Patent No. Re. 36,338).

Regarding claim 4, Safai fails to specifically disclose an image processing unit separate from said first and second processors, said image processing unit arranged for real-time image sequence (video) processing, said image processing unit controlled in real-time by said first processor. However, Safai discloses processing real-time image sequence (raw digital images are captured by the imaging unit 202, Figure 2A, Column 4, Lines 22-30). And Fukuoka discloses an electric still camera includes processing circuit 4, which is separated from CPU 11 (first processor) and digital signal processing 6 (second processor), Figure 1, Column 4, Lines 18-39. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Safai by the teaching of Fukuoka in order to separate signal into a brightness signal and color signals before converting to digital signal for further digital signal processing.

Regarding claim 5, Safai fails to specifically disclose an audio input coupled to said second processor, said second processor programmed to decode audio and said first processor programmed to output said decoded audio. However, Fukuoka discloses an electric still camera includes microphone 12 for inputting sound, microphone 12 is coupled to the sound data compressing-extending circuit 15 (second processor). The sound data are extended by the sound data compressing-extending circuit 15, and outputted as an audio signal through D/A 22 and amplifier 23 by the control of central processing 11 (first processor), Figure 1, Column 5, Lines



Art Unit: 2612

4-9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Safai by the teaching of Fukuoka in order to record sound data together with image data.

### *Conclusion*

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Silverbrook (U. S. Patent No. 5,479,205) discloses video/camera/recorder/animator device.

Hong (U. S. Patent No. 5,764,553) discloses generalized data processing path for performing transformation and quantization functions for video encoders systems.

Jacobs (U. S. Patent No. 6,580,456) discloses programmable timing generator.

Duncan et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,597,394) discloses programmable image transform processor for digital image processing.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LUONG T NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 308-9297. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LN 4/4/2004



**LUONG T. NGUYEN**  
**PATENT EXAMINER**